High resolution model intercomparison for Convective Events during CHUVA-Santa María

Matsudo, Cynthia<sup>(1)</sup>; Saulo, Celeste<sup>(2,3,4)</sup>; Cunningham, Christopher<sup>(5)</sup>, Anabor, Wagner<sup>(6)</sup>; Camponogara, Gláuber<sup>(7)</sup>; Chaboureau, Jean-Pierre<sup>(8)</sup>; Faus da Silva Dias, Maria Assunção<sup>(7)</sup>; Freitas, Saulo<sup>(5)</sup>; García Skabar, Yanina<sup>(1,4,9)</sup>; Machado, Luiz<sup>(5)</sup>; Nascimento, Ernani<sup>(6)</sup>; Nicolini, Matilde<sup>(2,3,4)</sup>; Pulido, Manuel<sup>(4,10)</sup>; Ruiz, Juan<sup>(2,3,4)</sup>; Salio, Paola<sup>(2,3,4)</sup>; Santos, Daniel<sup>(6)</sup>; Saucedo, Marcos<sup>(2,4)</sup>; Stockler, Rafael<sup>(5)</sup>; Vendrasco, Eder<sup>(5)</sup>

## Affiliation:

## Abstract:

CHUVA-Santa María took place between November 6 and December 22, 2012. In order to provide high resolution operational forecasts during this period, several institutions designed specific model runs centered around Santa María, Brazil. Besides the interest of providing operational forecasts, the researchers of the participant institutions discussed model settings aimed at generating a high resolution model ensemble for the first time over South America. This ensemble includes 9 members, with varying resolution from 2 km to 48 km grid spacing, though most of them lie in the 4-2 km range. Particular model settings based in the WRF model (e.g. those adopted by UFSM, CPTEC, UBA-UNNE, Argentina SMN) have been chosen in order to analyze the effect of alternative boundary conditions, grid resolution and planetary boundary layer parameterization. In turn, other models (e.g. BRAMS, MESO NH) have also been employed in order to compare different model performance. This work provides an assessment of this intercomparison focused on precipitation forecasts quality during 4 particular events, when organized convection has been observed. Besides subjective comparison of forecasted and observed accumulated precipitation fields; temporal precipitation variability, at each point where disdrometers and/or automated stations are available, will be analyzed and varied performance metrics will be presented.

<sup>(1)</sup>Servicio Meteorológico Nacional, Argentina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup>Centro de Investigaciones del Mar y la Atmósfera (CONICET-UBA), Argentina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(3)</sup>Dpto Cs. de la Atmósfera y los Océanos, F CEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>(4)</sup> UMI- IFAECI (CNRS/UBA/CONICET), Argentina.

<sup>(5)</sup> Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE) - Centro de Previsão de Tempo e Estudos Climáticos (CPTEC), Cachoeira Paulista, Brasil.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(6)</sup>Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Brasil.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(7)</sup>Departamento de Ciências Atmosféricas, Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas, Universidade de São Paulo

<sup>(8)</sup> Laboratoire d'Aerologie, Universite de Toulouse and CNRS, Toulouse, France.

<sup>(9)</sup> Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

<sup>(10)</sup> Department of Physics, FACENA, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Corrientes, Argentina.